- 1 A. That I was preparing for the hearing, a
- 2 pretermination hearing. This letter was to request
- 3 the withdrawal of forced leave in preparation for
- 4 setting a hearing date.
- 5 Q. Okay. Were you aware there had been a
- 6 Civil Service Commission hearing scheduled for the
- 7 following day, August 28, 2019, regarding his forced
- 8 leave, Jim's?
- 9 A. No, I'm not sure I was aware. I don't
- 10 recall being aware of that.
- 11 Q. Were you aware a motion had been filed in
- 12 advance of that hearing seeking a motion for
- 13 continuance --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- on the 16th of August seeking to
- 16 continue the Civil Service Commission hearing date?
- 17 A. I believe I may have been advised by 18 counsel.
- 19 Q. Were you aware that the hearing officer
- 20 had denied a request for continuance?
- 21 A. I believe I was advised by counsel.
- 22 Q. When you did make the decision to
- 23 terminate Jim? Was it on August 28?
- A. I made the decision to have a
- 25 pretermination hearing. And I made the decision to

1 would have also presented itself at a Civil Service

Page 196

Page 197

- 2 Commission hearing?
- 3 A. What I believe is that a hearing, whether
- 4 it be a civil service hearing, which I never denied,
- 5 or had any actions, those civil service hearings --
- 6 and I want to answer completely, because I believe
- 7 you're speaking about the civil service hearing that
- 8 you asked for, that you made him appear for as his
- 9 attorney.
- 10 Q. Yes. The one specifically --
- 11 A. I was not against that. I was ready to
- 12 go. I was ready to sit down with whoever made it.
- 13 But I'm not counsel. So I'm not able to discuss
- 14 with you matters that counsel understand. I
- 15 understood civil service rules for my understanding
- 16 of a pretermination hearing.
- I am not aware when counsel from the
- 18 City would have necessarily advised or not about a
- 19 civil service hearing that didn't come to fruition.
- 20 Had there been one, I would have been there. There
- 21 obviously was not one there. In other words, I
- 22 wasn't advising counsel. Counsel was advising me.
- Q. So are you -- were you aware that
- 24 withdrawing the request for forced leave would
- 25 operate to end the appeal?

Page 195

- 1 have the hearing prior to putting the letter
- 2 together.
- 3 Q. And why did you make that decision on that 4 date?
- 5 A. A pretermination hearing would afford me
- 6 the opportunity to hear from Mr. Garavaglia and to
- 7 hear from his point of view as he would explain to
- 8 me his actions, his misconduct, the reasons why he
- 9 was dishonest with me on several occasions.
- And then of course after the hearing,
- 11 I would have two weeks, according to civil service
- 12 rules, to render a kind of discipline that would be
- 13 appropriate after hearing from him. That it would
- 14 have been unfair to only have one side of the story
- 15 inserted into a decision for discipline. And I did
- 16 not choose to make a one-sided decision for
- 17 discipline.
- Mr. Garavaglia had served the City
- 19 for more than 30 years. And as his supervisor, I
- 20 recognized and honored the time spent on the job.
- 21 And so pretermination hearings afford not only the
- 22 employee but the supervisor to have a sit-down in a
- 23 formal manner to get an understanding as to what
- 24 happened from both sides point of view.
- Q. Did you not believe that that opportunity

- 1 A. I had no idea.
- 2 Q. You don't know?
- 3 A. I believe I understand that you believe
- 4 that I have knowledge or privy to knowledge that I
- 5 don't have.
- 6 Q. I'm not telling you what I believe. I'm
- 7 just asking you a question.
- 8 A. Then I'm telling you that I don't know
- 9 about whether you believe or I believe that there
- 10 would have been or not been a hearing but for the
- 11 letter. I don't know that.
- What I know is the letter came. I
- 13 wrote the letter. And I made a decision to have a
- 14 pretermination hearing. But I don't know if there
- 15 was consultation from counsel to me about a civil
- 16 service hearing. I do not recall if there was a
- 17 back and forth there any way whatsoever.
- And I would not have stopped or
- 19 caused counsel to stop a hearing of any kind to hear
- 20 the other side of Jim Garavaglia, because that was
- 21 necessary. I was trying to get there with my
- 22 pretermination hearing.
- Counsel at the time was working with
- 24 counsel. And that would have been your office as
- 25 counsel and the Office of City Counselor at the

50 (Pages 194 - 197)

Page 198 Page 200 1 time. And there may not have been perfect 1 A. Yes. 2 coordination of efforts on behalf of my office and 2 Q. Do you recognize this e-mail chain that's 3 the office and your office. 3 on this first page? Q. Are you saying that because you have 4 A. Yes. 5 factual knowledge of that or are you speculating? Q. Okay. Do you know what this e-mail chain 6 is discussing or what it relates to? A. I'm saying that because I saw a lot of 7 papers flying back and forth. And I was A. Yes. 8 unknowledgeable of what all was going on at the time 8 Q. Okay. Can you tell me? 9 except for the fact that I wanted to sit down and A. On this first page, this e-mail chain is 10 have a hearing. And I thought it was very urgent 10 Beverly Fitzsimmons corresponding with Jim 11 and important to do so. 11 Garavaglia as to whether or not he has told me about MR. SCHMITZ: Want to take a break? 12 12 the extension for the real estate project. Beverly 13 MR. NORWOOD: Yeah. 13 is admonishing Jim Garavaglia, because she is 14 14 stating to him that he had told her that I was okay (Whereupon there was a short 15 break, 3:57 p.m. to 4:21 p.m.) 15 with it. As she states, You had told me she was 16 MR. NORWOOD: The witness had a 16 okay with it. Is what I'm reading here that Beverly 17 clarification on one of the questions. So if 17 Fitzsimmons is saying to Jim in an e-mail. 18 you want to clarify regarding your discussions 18 Then she's telling him that she --19 with folks about the forced leave. I mean, who 19 and the "she" is referring to myself -- she told me 20 you would have communicated with. 20 that she was not. Did you work this out with her 21 21 yesterday? A. Oh, yeah, that's right. You had asked the 22 question regarding whether I communicated with 22 And then Jim is replying to her: I 23 anyone else besides counsel. And I --23 did not talk with her about it, but I will. Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Just so I'm clear, which 24 And then Beverly is responding: 25 time or in reference to what? 25 Please do it soon, because Stephanie is trying to Page 199 Page 201 1 A. In reference to letters and e-mails 1 finish the agenda. 2 2 regarding forced leave. And there was a question And the agenda she's referring to is 3 you asked regarding communication with anybody, that 3 E&A. This was the Tuesday before E&A. This would 4 I would have had communication with anyone regarding 4 have been at 8:40 in the morning when this 5 the forced leave, withdrawals for forced leave, 5 conversation ended. 6 anything at all. And I said in my answer, Linda Q. When was E&A? 7 Thomas and the Personnel Director. And I may have A. Wednesday, the next day. Q. Okay. Did you discuss this with Jim on 8 also discussed with Judy Armstrong, who is the 8 9 that date? 9 appointing authority for personnel. 10 10 A. On Tuesday? Q. Is that all you wanted to clarify then? 11 A. Yes. Because I would have needed to add 11 O. Yes. 12 her as someone that would have been in discussion. 12 A. I believe Jim called me on the day after 13 Q. Thank you for supplementing it. 13 he had this discussion with Bev. 14 MR. SCHMITZ: Did you pass P over there 14 Q. So the day of the E&A? 15 already? 15 A. He called me on the Tuesday after he 16 MR. NORWOOD: Yeah. 16 discussed on that same Tuesday morning after Bev (Whereupon Exhibit P was marked 17 17 asked him to discuss with me. And he did say that 18 18 he would. And then he called me. for identification.) 19 MR. NORWOOD: We're done with those. 19 Q. All right. And what did he tell you

51 (Pages 198 - 201)

21

20 during that phone call?

A. I don't recall the exact conversation but

23 office wanted to put documents for the extension on

24 E&A. And I thought that was really odd and strange

22 it was something to the effect that the mayor's

25 since the project was the project from the

moment.

MR. SCHMITZ: I don't know if I'm going to

Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) All right. So now I'm on

circle back or not, but we're done for the

24 P. It starts with an e-mail chain entitled Muni

25 Court Building. Do you see that?

20

21

22

23

Page 204 Page 202

- 1 comptroller's office of which Jim was the leader of
- 2 the project from its inception even when he was the
- 3 asset manager.
- 4 So I was listening to Jim at this
- 5 point to hear what else he was going to say after
- 6 saying that to me. I was like hm-hm, that was kind
- 7 of like not what I would hear when he finally called
- 8 me the day before E&A. Oh, Comptroller, the mayor's
- 9 office is going to put this on as an item. Do you
- 10 want me to send them the documents?
- Another strange thing out of the
- 12 ordinary, send them the items. Jim, I think you
- 13 already sent them the items, is what I already knew
- 14 as a fact. That's when you had pre E&A, which is a
- 15 week earlier. The Wednesday before the Wednesday
- 16 meeting, you discuss with people what you want to
- 17 add to the agenda. And by that Tuesday before, 18 Beverly had already informed me that this item was
- 19 being added or attempted to be added by Jim.
- 20 So that's why she was having the back
- 21 and forth with Jim, because I needed to hear from
- 22 Jim because Jim was in charge; not Beverly. This is
- 23 a direct example of how two deputies must coordinate
- 24 and communicate together in order -- as a team.
- 25 This is team comptroller's office. And we're in

- 1 whether there had been tax clearance. I don't know
- 2 if Beverly knew. What I did know is that the
- 3 conversation with Beverly and myself was we're not
- 4 sure what's going on with the project. And I
- 5 couldn't -- she couldn't tell me. I said, I don't
- 6 know, because I haven't heard from Jim.
 - Q. Did you ask him?
 - A. I didn't ask Jim to do anything except
- 9 when he asked me, shall I send the documents to the
- 10 mayor? I said, send the documents to me. Now --
 - Q. When did you talk to Bev before that?
- 12 A. I talked to Bey probably right before she
- 13 talked to Jim. Because I told her that I hadn't
- 14 heard from Jim. She asked me had I heard from Jim.
- 15 No, I haven't heard from him.
- 16 Q. Did you follow up with Jim to ask him
- 17 those questions?
- 18 A. Jim called me.
- 19 O. Right. I know. But before that.
- 20 A. Beverly asked me -- and I'm trying to
- 21 answer, because you asked me did I follow up with
- 22 Jim. And I think you asked me after I talked to
- 23 Beverly.
- 24 Q. Correct. Before he reached out to you?

1

25 A. No.

Page 203

- 1 charge of this particular project. And so both
- 2 deputies needs to have an understanding that this is
- 3 a go or it's not. And Jim was the No. 1 person with
- 4 that knowledge.
- Beverly could not usurp his knowledge
- 6 in any way, shape or form as the Muni Court project,
- 7 because she was working with the attorneys on both
- 8 sides. That would be Tom Ray representing the City
- 9 and that would be the Spencer Fane attorneys
- 10 representing the developers. Jim was all in the
- 11 mix. And he was supposed to inform me as the
- 12 comptroller, as well as any other person in the
- 13 comptroller's office, that had anything to do with
- 14 helping this project come along.
- 15 Q. Did you ask -- did you ask him why he had
- 16 waited that long to talk to you?
- 17 A. I listened to Jim on the call, on the
- 18 Tuesday morning call where he called me and told me.
- 19 He is telling me the mayor's office wants to put
- 20 this on the agenda. Comptroller, show us in the
- 21 document. I was stunned. I believe, but not sure,
- 22 that I may have said to him something to do with the
- 24 that was what was on my mind.
- 25 That was what I was unclear as to

23 taxes. But I don't know if I said that, because

- Q. Okay. Did you attempt to?
- 2 A. Not at 9:30 in the morning, not at 8:00 in
- 3 the morning, no, I did not. This is before E&A.
- 4 Most likely I -- it is my practice to be discussing
- 5 the agenda with the E&A secretary as to what we
- 6 already have ready for her to assemble, which is the
- 7 same conversation that Beverly's having with Jim.
- 8 She's telling him, hurry up,
- 9 Stephanie wants to get the agenda out. Stephanie,
- 10 as is practice, is talking to the comptroller about
- 11 the agenda. We have these items, Comptroller,
- 12 checkmark, checkmark, on the agenda. There's at
- 13 least the first three items that are from the
- 14 comptroller. We want to make sure we have all of it
- 15 in order.
- 16 Beverly is the pre-E&A person as
- 17 designated. Each person that is an E&A person has a
- 18 designee. Beverly is my designee. Tom Shepherd is
- 19 the designee for the president of the board. And at
- 20 the time. Tom Waelterman was the designee for the
- 21 mayor. Those three designees had met a week earlier
- 22 on a Wednesday or a Thursday sometimes to discuss
- 23 all these items for the agenda. Other items can
- 24 come and have come on the Friday or the Monday
- 25 before E&A.

1 Q. And did some items get removed as well?

2 A. We're talking about the agenda?

3 Q. Uh-huh.

4 A. You're saying removed. So I'm confused as

5 to do you mean removed from the process or removed

6 from the agenda?

7 Q. The preliminary agenda.

8 A. That is the --

9 Q. Just so I'm clear, I'm not trying to

10 interrupt you, but I want to understand what you're

11 saying. So you said they meet a week before.

12 There's a pre-agenda item list generated. Then you

13 said sometimes additional items are added in the

14 week between that meeting and the actual E&A

15 meeting. So you asked -- or you said I added. And

16 I said, are items also removed sometimes during that

17 week period?

18 A. Now, those would be discussions with the

19 pre-E&A members. For those items that are brought

20 to the table and in terms of staying or going, you

21 could use the term "removal" for the ones that are

22 going away and not staying as an agenda item.

23 Q. Okay.

A. I guess you're trying to make a conclusion

25 that I'm not there for, if that makes sense.

Page 208 1 the Friday and the Monday. Not a week, but in those

2 two days before. Because there's only one week

3 before the pre-E&A. Not two weeks; one. I became

4 aware from Beverly that Jim was wanting to add the

5 Muni Court. But I hasn't heard from him myself

6 personally. So I was not aware personally. Because

7 I had not heard from him on the Wednesday or the

8 Thursday or the Friday or the Saturday or the

9 Sunday.

THE COURT REPORTER: Slow down a little

bit.

11

12 A. I heard from him on the Tuesday, but he

13 didn't tell me on the Tuesday that he was adding the

14 item. Even when he sent an e-mail after the fact,

15 he did not tell me.

16 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) When you say you learned

17 he wanted to add it, how did you learn that?

18 A. I learned it from Beverly. The ones that

19 I would expected to learn it from.

Q. Did you ask him if that was something he

21 wanted added?

A. So here's what I did: I communicated with

23 the pre-E&A person, okay, that's Beverly, to find

24 out about all of the items of pre-E&A. Jim has

25 spoken to me earlier in the month of June about the

Page 207

1 Q. No. I'm not trying to make any

2 conclusions.

A. You asked me what do the E&A people do,

4 and I'm giving you my best answer as to what they

5 do. They are considering the items. Some of them

6 will be E&A items. Some of them you won't see as an

7 E&A item. So is it fair to say that they were

8 removed?

9 Q. Well, you characterized it --

10 A. They were considered. And whether or not

11 they were removed, that's --

O. You used the word "added." So I used the

13 word "removed."

14 A. You sure did.

15 Q. You corrected that, and that's fine. I

16 think I understand what you're saying.

17 A. Thank you.

18 Q. I understand it's not something you

19 directly have a hand in, but I believe you are at

20 least familiar with the process since you were

21 talking about it at length.

22 A. And I really wasn't finish. If you would

23 like me to finish, I will, but if not.

Q. Sure. Continue.

25 A. I did say that there are items added in

1 item. And that's the Muni Court item. Jim had told

2 me earlier in the month, the first week in June,

3 about the item and the extension that he thought was

4 coming. He said, Comptroller, I don't think it's

5 going to go.

6 From that first week, I didn't hear

7 anymore from Jim about the item. Now, that's

8 important, because the item was time sensitive. Jim

9 is deputy director -- deputy comptroller for Finance

10 & Development in charge of this project, not the

11 mayor's office, not SLDC. The comptroller's office

12 under the direction of Jim Garavaglia, who's worked

13 consistently with this item.

14 Q. What information do you know about --

15 A. And as I complete my -- before you start,

16 I heard from Jim on the Tuesday before E&A which was

17 the second time I heard from him about the item. So

18 I'm done with my response. I heard from him the

19 first week of June. And the second time I heard

20 from him was the day before E&A. In the meantime, I

21 was hearing from Beverly about the item.

Q. Okay. Are you done?

23 A. Yes.

Q. All right. Do you have any knowledge that

25 things change quickly in those final days before the

53 (Pages 206 - 209)

Page 210 Page 212

- 1 E&A meeting?
- 2 A. Absolutely. And that is why I should have
- 3 been updated and kept in the loop by Jim about the
- 4 item on a regular basis. On a day-to-day basis,
- 5 sometimes hourly, sometimes every minute, because
- 6 this was a time sensitive item. So Jim had
- 7 information about the item and whether it had to do
- 8 with the taxes, whether it had to do with a default.
- 9 The comptroller should have been updated about that,
- 10 but I was not.
- 11 Q. What basis do you have to believe that he
- 12 had concrete information to give you?
- 13 A. The basis is having looked at these
- 14 e-mails, in particular the ones from Beverly who is
- 15 insisting that he speak with me, because I'm telling
- 16 her from the knowledge that I had earlier because
- 17 Jim had already told me, hey, I don't think it's
- 18 going to go. So what does Beverly know? She
- 19 doesn't have the knowledge that Jim knows. I know
- 20 that as the comptroller, because I know what he has
- 21 put into the project. She hasn't.
- So if he knows that this is something
- 23 that should go, then why don't I know as the
- 24 comptroller of the City of St. Louis. I should be
- 25 well-apprised of the activities of this particular

- A. I don't know anything about that. I don't
- 2 know that Denny requested to the mayor's office that
- 3 it be requested, because I do know that Denny knows
- 4 that Jim Garavaglia controls the project. So if
- 5 Denny was asking the mayor's office, then there
- 6 would have probably been a problem that Denny
- 7 suspected that was in my office.
- 8 Q. Why would Denny ask for the mayor's office
- 9 to put it on the agenda if there was a problem?
- 10 A. I don't know why Denny asked the mayor's
- 11 office or would ask the mayor's office. Because I
- 12 do know that Denny would have full knowledge that
- 13 Jim Garavaglia was in charge of the project. I do
- 14 know that.
- 15 And I do see e-mails throughout, you
- 16 know, having gotten to this point in this lawsuit,
- 17 e-mails dating back throughout the month of June.
- 18 Correspondence between Denny and Tom and Jim. Denny
- 19 and Tom and Jim and not the mayor. All the way
- 20 through pre-E&A and up to pre-E&A and beyond E&A
- 21 after the approval. Those e-mails did not include
- 22 the mayor's office. That's what I know. That's why
- 23 I have knowledge about it.
- So I would not know why or have any
- 25 knowledge or have ever read anything that made a

- 1 project. And that should have come from Jim
- 2 Garavaglia, because it couldn't come from Beverly.
- 3 Had she had knowledge of it, I believe she would
- 4 have told me about the deal and whether it should
- 5 go. But her answers to me were only, Comptroller, I
- 6 don't know, I don't know, I don't know.
- 7 So I said, Well, I'll hear from him.
- 8 I'll hear from him. Because in that month, we had
- 9 the closing of the refinancing of the airport deal,
- 10 which was highly volatile. We also had this deal,
- 11 which I thought was not volatile at all, because we
- 12 had been working on it so diligently for so long.
- 13 Tom Ray, who was the outside professional attorney,
- 14 was very diligent in handling anything and
- 15 everything that had to do with this deal.
- 16 As I look back and see e-mails from
- 17 Tom Ray regarding this deal, he's the one that
- 18 helped protect the integrity of the office when it
- 19 came to making sure this deal came to fruition when
- 20 Jim did not.
- Q. What do you know about the other attorney,
- 22 not Tom Ray, the developer's attorney?
- A. Denny?
- Q. Right. Requesting to the mayor's office
- 25 that the item be added to the agenda?

- Page 213 1 statement suggesting that Denny would have asked the
- 2 mayor to put the item on the agenda. I do not have
- 3 knowledge of that.
- Q. Do you know why the mayor put the item on
- 5 the agenda or even wanted to?
- 6 A. I'm unaware that the mayor put the item on
- 7 the agenda. What I am aware of is that there was an
- 8 attempt by the mayor's office to add an item by
- 9 posting an item from the mayor's office onto the
- 10 comptroller's website about an additional item.
- 11 Q. Do you know why they did that?
- 12 A. I don't know why she did that. But I'm
- 13 aware that it happened. And that when it happened,
- 14 the person in charge of the website for the
- 15 comptroller took steps to remind the mayor that this
- 16 was -- mayor's office, that the website was the
- 17 comptroller's website.
- 18 Q. Okay. But that was something that the
- 19 mayor's office initiated, correct?
- A. I'm not sure who initiated it. But I am
- 21 aware that there was an attempt.
- Q. Well, how do you know about the web
- 23 developer's response? Who told you that?
- A. Web developer, I never spoke about a web
- 25 developer. I spoke about the person in charge of my

Page 214 Page 216

- 1 website and the comptroller's office.
- Q. What's that person's title?
- 3 A. That's Tyson Pruitt, who is the public
- 4 information officer, in the Office of the
- 5 Comptroller, reports to the comptroller. He became
- 6 aware of a posting. Then he made me aware of that
- 7 posting. Then he told me that he was going to take
- 8 care of it.

1

- Q. Okay. Did he tell you where that came 10 from?
- 11 A. He told me it came from the office of the 12 mayor.
- 13 O. Okay. And how did he know that?
- 14 A. Because he is the computer communications
- 15 person, and I believe he made inquiries, proper
- 16 inquiries, to determine where the posting came from.
- Q. Okay. But you don't know who those 18 inquiries were to?

A. I did.

- A. I did not ask him who he inquired. I
- 20 would believe he would have to check with web
- 21 people, web communications type IT people. So that
- 22 they could identify who made postings.
- Q. Did you ever talk to Bev about all of this
- 24 after the fact, after the E&A meeting had taken 25 place?

 - Page 215
- 2 Q. What did she tell you of that meeting?
- A. After the meeting had taken place, she --
- 4 I spoke to her the next day, because I had never
- 5 heard a mayor -- any mayor read e-mails of -- of an
- 6 employee of other E&A member's office. I was
- 7 stunned. Bev told me she was stunned. And we were
- 8 both embarrassed. We spoke on the phone about that.
- I said: Bev, talk to me about this
- 10 e-mail that we didn't see or I didn't know about.
- She said: Oh, yeah. There's an 11
- 12 e-mail thread among pre-E&A.
- 13 I said: Oh. What about it?
- 14 And she explained. In her
- 15 explanation, she made me to understand that when
- 16 there's pre-E&A items come to the table of E&A and
- 17 then there are e-mail discussions back and forth as
- 18 to what's presented and what the other offices think
- 19 about it and they take time to comment.
- 20 And so I asked her to send them to
- 21 me. I said, send them to me so I can see what
- 22 you're talking about. When I saw the e-mails, I did
- 23 see where discussions had been between the E&A
- 24 members, and in particular I'm talking about this
- 25 item, the president of the board had decided that he

- 1 did not want to hear the item.
- The item was sent from Jim, I believe
- 3 it was the Friday, the 14th, to the pre -- to
- 4 Beverly, who is my pre-E&A person, and asking her to
- 5 add it. And then she immediately sent it to the
- 6 other members, Tom Shepherd and -- which represents
- 7 the president of the board, Tom Waelterman, who
- 8 represents the mayor, for consideration. Her words
- 9 were something like, here's this extension again.
- 10 That was the Friday or Monday. The president of the
- 11 board's office responded, we don't think we want to
- 12 see this item at this time.
- 13 The mayor's office from Tom
- 14 Waelterman, I don't believe there was a response.
- 15 And that is how I learned or at least part of how I
- 16 learned how the mayor got the e-mails that she read,
- 17 because automatically they would have come to the
- 18 mayor's office and president of the board's office,
- 19 because Beverly sent the item that Jim had requested
- 20 be placed on the agenda.
- 21 And so that's how I learned about the
- 22 e-mail that the mayor did.
- 23 Q. And it's your contention that you believe
- 24 the item was not going to be on the agenda at that
- 25 time?

- A. I wasn't sure about that, because I hadn't
- 2 heard from Jim. And that's very sincere. I didn't
- 3 know. I even told Beverly prior to the meeting, I
- 4 was like, Beverly, I don't know. I haven't heard
- 5 from Jim. I don't know what's going on. And I
- 6 needed to hear from Jim. I needed to be in the
- 7 loop. I needed to be updated about the item,
- 8 because I was hearing that there were problems. In
- 9 fact, Jim had said earlier in the month, I don't
- 10 think it's going to go.
- So those words were still with me in 11
- 12 the month of June. This is the month of June. We
- 13 haven't even gotten to the middle of June. The
- 14 first, second week of June, I had traveled to
- 15 Chicago I recall. I had to talk about financing of
- 16 municipal jails. We had financed a jail 20 years
- 17 earlier. I was asked to speak about that. So I
- 18 know in the first week of June, that kind of thing I
- 19 was doing, among the other big ticket item which was
- 20 the airport refinance of which the mayor was asking
- 21 for lots of explanations and answering questions.
- 22 So I had a lot on my plate in terms
- 23 of what I was working on in addition to this
- 24 particular Muni Court item. This was a big item.
- 25 That those things were big. And this was between, I

Page 220 Page 218

- 1 guess, the first week in August. And I guess you
- 2 get to the third week, which is the third Tuesday --
- 3 I mean the third Wednesday, which is when the E&A
- 4 meeting occurred.
- But prior to the regular E&A, the
- 6 mayor called for an E&A meeting on June 11, which we
- 7 previously talked about. So I prepared for that
- 8 meeting. And then chose not to go because of the
- 9 airline situation of which the airlines was
- 10 displeased with the mayor having stated that she
- 11 would have a special meeting to vote on the
- 12 refinancing. They were very displeased. So that
- 13 was also in play in that time period.
- 14 And as I was sitting with Jim on that
- 15 day, Jim never discussed with me any updates that he
- 16 would have had about the Muni Court project. He had
- 17 all the opportunity in the world. He had my full
- 18 attention on June 11 to talk to me about the Muni
- 19 project whether or not they had paid their taxes,
- 20 whether or not he thought the deal was going to go,
- 21 whether or not he wanted to put it on E&A. He did
- 22 not ask me. That was June 11.
- 23 And I'll finish so that you can
- 24 start.
- 25 Q. You're saying he talked to you earlier in

- 1 the month of June. And there were other times where
- 2 I did not hear from Jim by phone, by text, or by
- 3 face regarding this project. We were in discussions
- 4 about other items like the airport refinancing that
- 5 I can recall.
- Q. You had mentioned that you talked to Bev
- 7 about this before talking to Jim. But you also said
- 8 Jim was the person that should have firsthand
- 9 knowledge. So why did you talk to Bev instead of 10 Jim?
- 11 A. Because Bev is the E&A person who is
- 12 charged with making sure the items go on the E&A
- 13 agenda and Jim is not. Jim would have been the one
- 14 who provided the item to Beverly. And once she got
- 15 the item, right, wrong or indifferent, it would have
- 16 gone on the E&A, if the E&A members all approved --
- 17 pre-E&A all approved, it would go on E&A.
- 18 It was up to Jim to make sure that
- 19 she was in discussions with his supervisor, which is
- 20 me, the comptroller, about the item to make sure
- 21 that it was A-OK to go on the agenda. Because I am,
- 22 as well as he and those he had talked to about the
- 23 item, the developer, Tom Ray and others, I would be
- 24 in the loop to know that this deal was A-OK and
- 25 ready to go.

Page 219

Q. So this miscommunication here, which you 2 still got your answers the day before E&A as we've

3 testified, what policies does that violate in your

4 opinion?

5 MS. HAMILTON: I would object that that

6 mischaracterizes the witness' testimony. 7 But to the extent you want to answer the

8 question, you can answer.

9 MR. NORWOOD: I join in that objection and

10 also think it might call for a legal

11 conclusion, but subject to that.

- 12 A. Well, as I understand the question, I
- 13 never told you that there was any violation. And I
- 14 never told you there was a miscommunication. I
- 15 never said that. You're injecting that now.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Do you consider it a 17 violation?
- 18 A. And I never told you I consider it a
- 19 violation. What I consider is insubordination for
- 20 an employee of mine who had direct instructions to
- 21 keep me in the loop as the deputy comptroller for
- 22 Finance & Development, and I was not kept in the
- 23 loop.
- 24 In addition, on the Tuesday, I
- 25 believe there was -- I was spoken to with

1 the month. And now you're saying way back on 2 June 11, he had an opportunity to talk to you.

- 3 A. I said earlier in the month --
- 4 Q. What date was that?
- 5 That would have been the first week in
- 6 June.
- 7 Q. Why do you think that he had new
- 8 information to give you as of June 11?
- A. I am saying that if he had information on 10 that date, I was available.
- Q. Okay. But you don't know if he did or did 11
- 12 not?
- A. I stated I did not know anything. What I
- 14 do know is he didn't talk to me about it. I'm not
- 15 aware that he had information or that he did not 16 have information. I am aware that I was available
- 17 and made myself available. We were face-to-face.
- 18 And I'm aware that nothing from Jim about the Muni
- 19 Court project was talked to about on that day while
- 20 we were in a meeting.
- 21 Q. Were you unavailable at other times in 22 that month?
- 23 A. I was in Chicago at a conference that I
- 24 just spoke to you about. That would have been the
- 25 first week -- between the first and second week of

Page 224 Page 222

- 1 misinformation. That's different from
- 2 miscommunication. I was directly communicated with,
- 3 with dishonesty. I was told a lie on that phone.
- Q. You said you believe. So why do you
- 5 believe that?
- A. Because his words were: The mayor wants
- 7 to add the item to the agenda. Do you want me to
- 8 send the documents to the mayor's office? I had to
- 9 consider what I knew to be true versus what he was
- 10 saying to me on the phone. They didn't connect.
- Q. What did you know to be true?
- 12 A. What I knew to be true from Beverly was
- 13 that Jim was adding the item. He's telling me that
- 14 the mayor's adding the item. So I didn't know who
- 15 to believe. Remember, this is at 9:00 something in
- 16 morning.
- 17 Q. So you're saying --
- 18 A. Later on in the day -- I'm answering the
- 19 question as fully as I can, because it does sound
- 20 strange here. But these are the facts.
- 21 I heard from Beverly. Then I heard
- 22 from Jim. Then I heard from Tyson Pruitt who's
- 23 telling me the mayor's posting. So now what Jim is
- 24 saying at 9:00, I'm hearing from Tyson later in the
- 25 day, that there's this posting.

- 1 have to be had between the supervisor, which is me,
- 2 Comptroller Green, and deputy comptroller, which is
- 3 Jim, had been had. First time it was put on the
- 4 agenda. Second time it was put on the agenda for
- 5 this. And this third time, I don't know what
- 6 happened.
- 7 Q. Again, the claim that the mayor -- you're
- 8 saying that that was a lie, that the mayor wanted to
- 9 put -- I'm not hearing any evidence. Whether Jim
- 10 wanted to add it or not, how is that evidence that
- 11 the mayor's office didn't also want to add the item?
- A. It's evidence because -- or what I heard 12 13 in the early hour was contrary to what I knew at the
- 14 early hour. Notwithstanding all of what Jim knew.
- 15 Q. What did you know about the mayor's office
- 16 adding it to the agenda at that early hour?
- 17 A. What Jim told me.
- 18 Q. Okay. Did you have anything that
- 19 suggested that that was false?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- 21 O. And what is that?
- 22 A. The knowledge from Beverly.
- 23 Q. So Beverly had direct knowledge that the
- 24 mayor's office did not want to add it to the agenda?
- 25 A. What she had was the knowledge that Jim

1 wanted to add it.

- Q. Right. So Jim --
- 3 A. In other words --
- Q. Hold on. My question is: How is that
- 5 evidence? Whether or not Jim wanted to add it, how
- 6 does that mean that the mayor's office subsequently
- 7 did not want to add it?
- A. It didn't.
- Q. You testified earlier that all three may
- 10 want to add items to the agenda and agree. So I
- 11 don't understand how that is evidence that the
- 12 mayor's office did not independently want to add it.
- 13 A. I never said that they didn't.
- 14 O. You said that was a lie.
- 15 A. I said that I was told that. And it was
- 16 contrary to what I knew. And that's a fact. I'm
- 17 restating the fact. At the early hour, I knew from
- 18 Beverly that Jim was adding it. That's what I knew.
- 19 I also knew that Jim was telling me at that hour
- 20 that the mayor was adding it. Those two didn't
- 21 match.
- 22 Q. How do you know that --
- 23 A. So now that I know that they didn't
- 24 match --

Veritext Legal Solutions

25 Q. How do you know that Beverly's information

Page 223

1 Q. Well, that tends to suggest that it's

- 2 true, does it not? A. It suggests in the moment that I'm hearing
- 4 from Jim, and he's not telling me anything at all
- 5 about him adding the project, he's telling me about
- 6 the mayor. I hadn't heard from him about his
- 7 activities. He's my employee. I need to hear your
- 8 activities. I don't want you to tell me about
- 9 somebody else that's not in our office. You're on 10 our team. What are you doing with regard to this
- 11 project? Like I had heard in all the months in the
- 12 past.
- 13 Every single time prior to this time,
- 14 Jim had updated me properly. And I had proper
- 15 information when this item -- this, as a matter of
- 16 fact, is probably the third extension. The other
- 17 two extensions, I had no problems hearing from Jim
- 18 about why this project should go on the E&A or not.
- 19 Beverly never informed me about whether the project 20 should go on the agenda or not. It was always Jim.
- As a matter of fact, if you look at
- 22 the agendas, you will see that it's coming from
- 23 deputy director -- I mean deputy comptroller for 24 development, Finance & Development. That's who
- 25 places it on the agenda. And those discussions then

Page 226 Page 228 1 was up to date? How do you know at the time you 1 A. -- if I'm allowed to characterize my 2 spoke to Beverly, the situation hadn't changed --2 answer as you characterize your question. A. Because the --3 MR. SCHMITZ: This is not my question. 4 4 Q. Hold on. Let me finish my question. MR. NORWOOD: Let me object. She is 5 5 How do you know at the time Beverly answering your question and you're cutting her 6 talked to you, the situation hadn't changed such 6 off. And you've been doing it repeatedly. I 7 that Jim had decided he was not sure if it should be 7 mean, can she finish the response before you 8 8 added or not at that point because things had interject? 9 9 happened quickly? MR. SCHMITZ: Let me be clear. My A. What I knew was, and I'll restate, I knew 10 question in no way called for anything related 11 what Beverly told me and I knew what Jim told me. 11 to what her expectations are as a whole for 12 12 And they weren't congruent. They didn't match. reporting. My question was very narrow, very 13 13 Q. And Beverly's statements are specific. 14 14 unimpeachable? Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) And that is, do you or 15 A. I can't say whether one or the other in 15 did you not expect Jim to tell you about information 16 that hour was -- they didn't match. And for me to 16 he finds out about an office outside of the 17 understand that documents should go to the mayor's 17 comptroller? That's it, yes or no. 18 office as Jim was asking me to do, I said send them 18 MR. NORWOOD: It's not a yes or no 19 19 to me. And I was real clear about that. question. Let me object. You just used the 20 20 word "expectation." And when she used the word Q. One last thing you said and then I'll move 21 21 on. You said you did not want to hear from him, "expectation," you're saying you're not asking 22 anything about anyone from an office outside of your 22 about expectations. 23 own? 23 MR. SCHMITZ: I'm not asking her about 24 24 A. Well, here's what it is. expectations different than those expectations. 25 25 Q. Let me finish my question. I'm not. Those questions have been asked and Page 227 Page 229 1 A. Sure. I didn't know that was the 1 answered. We're going to be here with 2 2 question. five-to-seven-minute responses that go way off Q. Okay. I did not -- excuse me. Are you 3 4 stating, a simple yes or no, whether or not you 4 MR. NORWOOD: She's not going way off 5 5 expected Jim to withhold that information then topic. You don't like the responses, is what 6 6 because it did not come from inside your office? it amounts to. 7 A. That's a confusing question that you just MR. SCHMITZ: I can tell you the responses 8 8 asked me. If you would be more plain, please, I are not responsive. 9 would appreciate it. 9 MR. NORWOOD: If you want to move to have 10 Q. You stated during your testimony, I don't 10 it stricken after she answers, that's one 11 want to hear about anything from an office that's 11 thing. 12 not this office. So that's my question. Was it 12 MR. BLANKE: What if she takes 45 13 your expectation, then, that Jim not bring to your 13 minutes --14 attention information that he received from outside 14 MR. NORWOOD: Let me finish. Now you're 15 the office? 15 interrupting me. Can I make my record? Thank MR. NORWOOD: Well, let me object because 16 16 17 17 that mischaracterizes her testimony. You're not allowed to just chop the 18 But subject to that, you can answer the 18 witness off in the middle of her response when 19 question. 19 she's answering your question as best she can 20 20 A. I am real clear on my expectation from my answer it. 21 21 employee, and that is to be updated on a regular MR. BLANKE: But if her answer is 22 basis and kept in the loop about projects at hand. 22 nonresponsive --23 23 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) I'm asking --MR. NORWOOD: Then you move to strike it 24 A. That is a simple --24 as nonresponsive. 25

MR. BLANKE: But if she wants to continue

MR. NORWOOD: Objection.

25

Page 230 Page 232 there 1 A. I see 1/30/09.

- 1 speaking for 45 minutes, we have to sit here
- 2 and listen to that? Is that what you're --
- 3 MR. NORWOOD: She's not speaking for 45
- 4 minutes.
- 5 MR. BLANKE: Is that what you're saying,
- 6 though? We cannot stop her for nonresponsive
- 7 answers?
- 8 MR. NORWOOD: What I'm suggesting is that
- 9 there is a procedure and a process. He may not
- 10 like the answer. He may not believe it's
- responsive. But he can't simply cut her off
- 12 before she finishes her answer.
- 13 MR. BLANKE: Yes, he can.
- MR. NORWOOD: And the record will show
- whether or not it's responsive.
- 16 MR. SCHMITZ: You've made your speaking
- objection, which has now lasted an extended
- 18 period of time.
- MR. NORWOOD: Yes. Because I've sat here
- and been patient, but this is ridiculous.
- 21 MR. SCHMITZ: Okay. And again, what did
- you say the last time about speaking

of a question [sic].

9 a simple yes or no?

O. Okay.

15 this document?

17 during this process.

20 the date on this?

of this?

A. I cannot.

the record. Are you done?

- 23 objections, yet you continue to violate that
- 24 rule over and --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

21

22

23

24

25

25 MR. NORWOOD: Your speaking objections was

counseling the witness on what to say. I am

protecting this witness from being badgered

because you are cutting her off in the middle

MR. NORWOOD: For now, yes.

for identification.)

Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Are you familiar with

A. I've become familiar with the document

Q. Just for the record, I'm referring to

19 what's been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Q. What's

MR. SCHMITZ: Yes.

Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Uh-huh.

MR. SCHMITZ: We're not going to argue on

(Whereupon Exhibit Q was marked

MR. NORWOOD: You mean on the first page

THE WITNESS: Is that a question for me?

Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Can you answer that with

- Q. Okay. When you've seen this document, and
- 3 I'm referring strictly to the first four pages of
- 4 this exhibit, which are Bates stamped Garavaglia 44
- 5 through 47, are these the only four pages you've
- 6 seen that were a part of this document?
- 7 A. I've seen all of these pages.
- 8 Q. I know. I'm asking you to stop at the
- 9 fourth page. Again Garavaglia 44 through 47.
- 10 A. I have seen the four pages.
- 11 Q. All right. And as you can see, they say
- 12 Page 1 of 4 through 4 of 4. Have you seen any
- 13 additional items attached to this particular
- 14 agreement?
- 15 A. I'm unaware of that.
- 16 Q. All right. Have you ever seen any
- 17 documents that are connected with this document that
- 18 list out various AT&T communications products?
- 19 A. I am unaware of that.
- Q. If you could turn to what's Bates stamped
- 21 Garavaglia 57. Are you familiar with this document?
- A. I have seen this document through this
- 23 process.
- Q. What is your understanding as to what this
- 25 document is?

Page 231 m 1 A. It is an AT&T agreement.

- Q. And what makes you think that it's an
- 3 agreement?
- 4 A. The words before the signature says
- 5 agreed, A-G-R-E-E-D. Agreed -- City of St. Louis,
- 6 agreed AT&T.
- 7 Q. Do you see above that where it -- below
- 8 the box where it says, this statement of work, and
- 9 in parentheses, SOW?
- 10 A. I see that.
- 11 Q. All right. Does it remain your
- 12 understanding that this is an agreement rather than
- 13 statement of work?
- 14 A. Are you asking me to make a conclusion
- 15 about the documents from AT&T?
- 16 Q. If you have personal knowledge.
- 17 A. I'm just answering your question.
- MR. NORWOOD: Let her answer, please.
- 19 A. I answered it based on what I understand.
- 20 That's my understanding. I understand that this is
- 21 an agreement.
- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) What do you know about
- 23 the outstanding money that was being requested by
- 24 AT&T? You can see some of those documents in here
- 25 on what's Bates stamped Garavaglia 48, 49 and 50.

59 (Pages 230 - 233)

Page 234 Page 236

- 1 A. I've seen these documents through this
- 2 process.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you know if this issue was
- 4 resolved?
- 5 A. Specifically I can't speak to these
- 6 specific statements as to the resolution.
- 7 Q. Just to clarify, are you saying you don't
- 8 recall at this time when you say you can't speak?
- 9 A. Specifically to your question?
- 10 Q. Well, you said I can't speak to. So I'm
- 11 clarifying what you mean by that.
- 12 A. I can't say if these particular issues
- 13 were resolved as they are here in front of me, as I
- 14 see them in front of me. I do know there were
- 15 resolutions that happened between the City and AT&T.
- 16 I'm aware of that. But I can't make a clearcut
- 17 statement as to whether the fees are the issue.
- 18 Q. Do you have any recollection of any
- 19 conversation with Jim about a proposed settlement of
- 20 \$200,000 to resolve the issue?
- 21 A. I don't recall. I do know I have an
- 22 e-mail regarding -- I've seen an e-mail regarding
- 23 that.

1

- Q. Do you recall what the e-mail said?
- A. It talked about a resolution.

- 1 A. -- signed by Jim Garavaglia.
- 2 Q. You say it's signed by Jim. Are you
- 3 basing that on what you're looking at?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you have any direct knowledge that he 6 signed this?
- 7 A. I wasn't present for his signing it, no.
- Q. Do you have a process for a document like
- 9 this for how it should be reviewed and then
- 10 presented to you for signature?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And what is that process?
- 13 A. Contracts, agreements are presented for
- 14 review to the comptroller after they've been
- 15 reviewed by the City counselor's office normally.
- 16 They would approve as to form. And they would then
- 17 initiate a new process where they're further
- 18 reviewed in the comptroller's office by the staff
- 19 support person, Jason Fletcher, before they're
- 20 presented to be approved for signature.
- 21 O. Okav.
- A. That's just part of the process. There's
- 23 other approval processes that require adding to E&A
- 24 for approval, which the presenting to the City
- 25 counselor as to form may or may not have occurred

Page 235

- Q. Do you know who sent you the e-mail?
- A. I don't know if anyone sent it to me. I
- 3 have a recollection of seeing it recently throughout
- 4 this process. And when I say I'm not sure if anyone
- 5 sent it, it may have been someone brought it and put
- 6 it on my desk or something. I don't know.
- 7 Q. Do you know who the e-mails were from?
- 8 A. I believe there was an e-mail that was
- 9 between Jim Garavaglia and Kelly Anderson.
- 10 Q. All right. Do you remember any of the 11 contents of that e-mail?
- 12 A. The contents of that e-mail had to do with
- 13 meeting to present the -- or to review and then
- 14 present the item to me.
- 15 (Whereupon Exhibit R was marked
- 16 for identification.)
- 17 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) All right. Do you have
- 18 this exhibit? Do you recognize this document?
- 19 A. Yes. Through this process, yes.
- Q. All right. And what is this document to
- 21 your understanding?
- A. This is a Waste Management service
- 23 agreement between the City of St. Louis and Waste
- 24 Management --
- 25 Q. Okay.

- 1 prior to it being approved by the E&A.
- Q. Are all contracts brought to E&A or just
- 3 some?
- 4 A. There is the majority of contracts that
- 5 would be brought to E&A for approval. That would be
- 6 for professional items. And there's a list of
- 7 agreements. But the majority and most people, most
- 8 departments, would have their agreements sent for
- 9 approval by E&A. There is ordinance authority for
- 10 some requirements for documents to be brought before
- 11 E&A for approval.
- 12 Q. Okay. Are there any -- you said a list.
- 13 Are there any agreements, contracts, that would not
- 14 be that you would sign without the E&A part of the
- 15 process?
- 16 A. There's possible -- there's a possibility
- 17 there may be some that would not need to come before
- 18 E&A. For example, there would be those emergencies
- 19 that would come directly to the comptroller, because
- 20 they would be emergency. There are also times where
- 21 even emergency contracts or extensions would go
- 22 before E&A. But there are times when emergency 23 wouldn't allow it to go to E&A first.
- Q. Would that be the only exception then?
- A. That is the one that I'm most aware of.

60 (Pages 234 - 237)

Page 238 Page 240

- 1 And there would be others that a counselor or lawyer
- 2 would know that I would not know perhaps.
- 3 Q. All right.
- 4 (Whereupon Exhibit S was marked
- 5 for identification.)
- 6 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) So I have what's marked
- 7 Plaintiff's Exhibit S, which the first page is a
- 8 letter stating it's from you to Jim dated July 21,
- 9 2017. Do you see that page?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. Do you recall writing this
- 12 letter?

1 not.

- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Why did you write the letter?
- 15 A. I wrote the letter to inform Jim that he
- 16 did not have and he was not authorized to sign
- 17 agreements on behalf of the City of St. Louis as
- 18 deputy comptroller for Finance & Development. The
- 19 signature that he signed on an agreement with the
- 20 composting company were erroneous. They were
- 21 extensions between the City of St. Louis and the
- 22 St. Louis composting company. And it was a reminder
- 23 to Jim, because I had verbally told him one year
- 24 earlier that I recall when he asked if he has
- 25 signature authority, and I verbally told him he did

- 1 Michelle Graham, who was in contract compliance
- 2 office, can process and provide a properly executed
- 3 extension. Because what he had provided was not
- 4 properly executed. And it would be putting the City
- 5 and the contractor at risk.
- 6 Q. Did you ever have a discussion with Jim
- 7 about any opinions related by the City counselor's
- 8 office related to this?
- 9 A. No. Jim did not contact me after he went
- 10 to the City counselor's office seeking their advice.
- 11 Had he contacted me, then we could have had that
- 12 discussion. But I was unaware that he was seeking
- 13 advice outside of the advice I had given him
- 14 directly as his supervisor. Jim did not follow my
- 15 advice.
- But I was fortunate that when he did
- 17 seek the advice of Tom Ray who was outside counsel.
- 18 Tom Ray e-mailed me to let me know that Jim asked
- 19 him about signing documents. And that reminded me
- 20 that I had a verbal with Jim about signing
- 21 documents. And Tom Ray then e-mailed me that
- 22 subsequent to my discussion with Jim that he asked
- 23 whether he had signatures authority obviously. And
- 24 Tom Ray told him that he would contact me.
- As a matter of fact, Jim is copied on

Page 239

- 2 And I recall that so clearly because
- 3 Tom Ray called me right after I had the discussion
- 4 with Jim about signature authority. And at the
- 5 time, I thought since he was brand-new on the job,
- 6 that he maybe thought this came with the new job. I
- 7 explained that it did not. I explained Beverly
- 8 Fitzsimmons, who was the deputy comptroller, was the
- 9 only designated one besides myself that had the
- 10 authority to sign agreements on behalf of the City,
- 11 binding the City. And that he did not have that
- 12 authority.
- So when I saw this signature wherein
- 14 Jim Garavaglia was crossing out my name and title on
- 15 a contract extension and replacing it with his name
- 16 and title and signing it in an attempt to inform the
- 17 contractor, as well as the Department where the
- 18 contractor was contracting with which was the
- 19 Forestry Department, that there was a new procedure
- 20 wherein his signature was good.
- 21 I had to inform Jim that he was
- 22 incorrect. And that I stated in this e-mail, as you
- 23 can see, I'm puzzled as to how this could happen.
- 24 This is an improper procedure. And I asked Jim to
- 25 please work with Beverly Fitzsimmons so that

- 1 Tom Ray's e-mail. So that Tom Ray is letting Jim
- 2 know he is checking with the supervisor, which is
- 3 me, which is basically what Jim should have done.
- 4 In the cases where Jim sought other counsel, those
- 5 counselors did not e-mail me. So I was not aware
- 6 and could not have ever been aware that Jim sought
- 7 advice to sign documents when his direct supervisor
- 8 had given him a direct order not to sign the
- 9 documents, which I did verbally as well as in
- 10 writing as you can see that's in this memorandum
- 11 today.
- 12 Q. Did you do anything other than verbally
- 13 and in writing bring this to his attention?
- 14 A. I did not.
- 15 Q. Do you have any knowledge of this issue
- 16 with an unauthorized signature as you listed in the
- 17 title that occurred after July 21, 2017?
- A. I believe I -- I don't recall if the AT&T
- 19 invoice was 2017. And I believe there may have been
- 20 another AT&T subsequent to that. But I'm not sure.
- 21 I believe I saw one either in 2018 and/or in 2019.
- 22 There was multiple AT&T documents signed by Jim that
- 23 had multiple dates. So I'm not sure if the
- 24 July 2017 was the last one, if that's what your
- 25 question is, if that's the last one or if there were

Page 244 Page 242 1 MR. NORWOOD: Let me give you mine. 1 others. 2 THE WITNESS: That would be good. I have 2 Q. I'm asking if you know of anything that 3 3 occurred after that date, yes. 4 A. I don't know. Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) If you read the first 5 paragraph, on January 30 --Q. Okay. And if you want, you can look at MR. BLANKE: Wait. She's still not on the 6 Exhibit Q to refresh your recollection because we 7 7 talked about this already. I did ask you about the right page. 8 date on the first page. But we can turn to what's 8 MR. NORWOOD: Go to the next page. 9 Bates stamped Garavaglia 57, because we talked about Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Got it. So I'm reading 10 this document as well. 10 from the first paragraph, first sentence: On A. This is dated 3/17/17, the AT&T document 11 January 30, 2009 [sic], Mr. Garavaglia signed a 12 that was signed by Jim. 12 master agreement with AT&T. Q. Right. Do you know of any additional 13 Then it goes on in the paragraphs to 14 discuss July 10, 2019, Mary Harp -- I assume she's 14 documents other than these? 15 an AT&T representative -- sent a master agreement to A. This -- not past these dates that I'm 16 us, which was signed by James. 16 aware of, except I do believe I've seen others. But 17 17 I'm not certain. But she's referring to the master 18 agreement of January 30, 2009 [sic]. So is that 18 Q. Well, when did you see others? 19 A. I thought I saw a document dated July -- I 19 what you -- is that what your belief that something 20 mean I'm not sure of the date or the month, but I 20 came after that is coming from? 21 A. I'm unclear, but I do see this and it may 21 thought I saw a document dated in 2018. And it was 22 an AT&T document, but I could be incorrect. 22 be. But I'm unclear. 23 Q. All right. I'm going to move on. 23 Q. Was that listed in the pretermination 24 24 notice? (Whereupon Exhibit T was marked A. I don't know. It should have been with 25 for identification.) 25 Page 243 Page 245 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) I'm going to draw your 1 all the documents because there was a lot of 2 documents. And I think it was called a master 2 attention to what's now marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 agreement and it came from AT&T. 3 T. Do you recognize this document? 4 A. Yes. Q. Master agreement. A. Do you remember a master agreement coming 5 Q. Okay. What is this? A. It's internal audit document. 6 from AT&T from Mary Harp? 7 Q. If you look at the front of Exhibit Q, the Q. All right. Do you see what's Bates 8 stamped, third page, GRN 000627? 8 master agreement you're referring to, is that what 9 you're talking about? A. Yes. 10 10 Q. All right. That's a letter or a memo that A. Okay. That's what this says, master 11 was written by Ishmael Ikpeama. Do you know that 11 agreement for '14 and '09. Oh, no. This is an 12 attention to. That's not the name of the agreement. 12 individual? Q. Well, certainly it's referring to an 13 A. Yes. 14 agreement that predates this agreement. 14 O. And who is he? 15 15 A. He's an employee of the comptroller's A. Yes. 16 office. I believe he's retired. 16 Q. Okay. Q. All right. So was he an employee at the 17 17 A. But the document I'm talking about had 18 time that this was written? 18 master agreement across the top. It was sent by 19 Mary Harp. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. All right. And did his position which is 20 Q. If you could go back. I think you should 21 listed here as internal audit supervisor fall under 21 still have Exhibit O over there. And then it's 22 the supervision of the deputy comptroller of Finance 22 Bates stamped Garavaglia 42. It's a memorandum from 23 & Development? 23 Judy Armstrong to you dated August 26, 2019. A. I have 41. You said 42. 24 A. Yes. At that time. 24 25 25 Q. All right. Have you seen these documents Q. Yes.

62 (Pages 242 - 245)

Page 246 Page 248

- 1 in this exhibit?
- 2 A. During this process, I have.
- Q. All right. Are you aware of any e-mails
- 4 between Dr. Ikpeama and Jim and Sonia?
- 5 A. During this process, I became aware of 6 some.
- 7 Q. Would you say it's a responsibility of the
- 8 deputy comptroller for Finance & Development to
- 9 ensure that the findings of this audit are accurate?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Do you believe that getting this done
- 12 timely according to the timeline set out by
- 13 Dr. Ikpeama supercedes the need to get the
- 14 information accurate?
- 15 A. You mean putting out a report versus --
- 16 Q. Let me ask it differently. What is more
- 17 important -- Jim, as the supervisor for Dr. Ikpeama,
- 18 what is more important that he follow Dr. Ikpeama's
- 19 self-created deadline or that Jim ensures that the
- 20 information is accurate?
- 21 MR. NORWOOD: Let me object. I think
- that's a loaded question and assumes a lot of
- facts that are not in evidence.
- And subject to that, though, you can
- answer the question.

Page 247

- THE WITNESS: I really don't understand.
- 2 It sounds like you're asking me who's more
- 3 important.

1

- 4 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) No, I'm not asking you
- 5 who's more important at all.
- 6 A. You're saying that Ishmael didn't have any
- 7 processes and procedures to follow. You just said
- 8 self-imposed. So you took me away when you said
- 9 that. Because I thought it was apparent to me that
- 10 you are characterizing the two. So please help me
- 11 understand what you really want to know.
- 12 Q. Where does his deadline come from?
- 13 A. His processes and procedures and his
- 14 supervisor. He would have had someone supervising
- 15 him. And in his profession as a CPA and an auditor,
- 16 internal audit, he would have understood his
- 17 processes and how long he should have to do a review 17 reissue.
- 18 like this.
- 19 Q. And that comes from his supervisor?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And who did that supervisor --
- A. That would have been whoever at the time
- 23 was -- that would have been -- it would not have
- 24 been Jim Garavaglia in terms of deadlines, if that's
- 25 what you're asking. Jim did not -- was not and is

- 1 not an auditor in the office. He's a temporary --
- 2 had temporary oversight of the department but not
- 3 the function. And that's a distinction. This is an
- 4 audit function. That Jim could not tell them how to
- 5 do their independent jobs.
- 6 These were professionals, and
- 7 licensed professionals to add to that. These are
- 8 licensed professionals who could lose their license
- 9 if they were to do things outside of the accountancy
- 10 and audit and the audit rules. So there are audit
- 11 rules that govern their job.
- 12 O. What rules are those?
- 13 A. The audit rules that govern the auditor's
- 14 jobs are spelled out for auditors. I'm not an
- 15 auditor. So there are rules for auditors like there
- 16 are rules for lawyers and accountants. So their
- 17 rules would have dictated what they would have had
- 18 to do with regard to this review in terms of setting
- 19 the processes in place to audit.
- Q. Do you believe -- I'm not trying to
- 21 characterize your testimony in any way. I'm asking
- 22 this as a clarifying question that these audit
- 23 rules, as you refer to, are binding on him for an
- 24 internal audit done by the comptroller's office on
- 25 behalf of the comptroller's office, you know, and

- 1 those are inflexible dates?
- 2 A. You mean for Ishmael?
- O. Uh-huh.
- 4 A. I absolutely do. Because they are
- 5 reviewed independently by other audit offices
- 6 similar to the audit office that exists in the
- 7 Office of the Comptroller. For example, the audit
- 8 office in the County of St. Charles independently
- 9 comes in and audits. And they have a process where
- 10 they audit in order to keep all of the internal
- 11 audit offices that exist up to standard. And
- 12 they're very serious about their work. That's what
- 13 I know about that.
- 14 O. Okay. And what if the audit has errors in
- 15 it? How is that corrected?
- 16 A. The auditors would correct that and
- 18 Q. Okay. So again, I'm not asking about
- 19 independent auditor rules. I'm talking about your
- 20 rules as the comptroller for the comptroller's
- 21 office. What is your expectation about getting the
- 22 information accurate during an audit?
- A. My expectation is that Ishmael and any of
- 24 the internal audit employees would perform in a
- 25 professional manner and adhere to the professional

Page 250 Page 252

- 1 standards of their profession. But I also would
- 2 expect my employee Jim Garavaglia as the deputy
- 3 comptroller to adhere to the professional standards
- 4 of the operations of the comptroller's office. It's
- 5 an expectation that I believe I would -- it's a
- 6 reasonable expectation that they would be able to
- 7 perform their jobs in a professional manner.
- 8 Q. Okay. But that's -- I'm not sure the
- 9 question about professional manner came up. But
- 10 those auditor rules do not apply to Jim, correct?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. All right. And are there independent
- 13 separate policies within your office that inform
- 14 even a deputy comptroller, that they are subject to
- 15 those auditor rules in terms of their supervision of
- 16 somebody conducting an audit?
- 17 A. The auditors are subject to the rules.
- 18 Those who they audit, which would have been in this
- 19 case, Jim Garavaglia. As the supervisor at the time
- 20 of the audit, he was supervising Gateway
- 21 Transportation. He would have been asked by Ishmael
- 22 to respond. That's what he's after, a response.
- Q. Okay. So my question was, are there any
- 24 rules that exist within your office directing -- or
- 25 policies, procedures, directing deputy comptrollers

- 1 error, it's up to the auditee to tell the auditor
- 2 they are incorrect. Then the auditee can remove the
- 3 findings. That's how that would work.
- 4 Q. Right. So consistent with that, it's
- 5 important for the person supplying that request and
- 6 information to ensure that information is accurate,
- 7 wouldn't you agree, rather than just pass along what
- 8 they think they know?
- 9 A. You misunderstand what you're asking me.
- 10 And I say that sincerely.
- 11 Q. I misunderstand what I'm -- okay. Well,
- 12 I'm still asking the questions.
- 13 A. Yes. I'm very direct in stating to you if
- 14 that auditor has a finding, correct or incorrect, it
- 15 is up -- if they ask a question, they're asking
- 16 directly to the person that they said, we found
- 17 this. And that would have been to Jim. Jim says,
- 18 no, you're incorrect. He has that right. They ask
- 19 for a response. And that auditor can be found to be
- 20 wrong if the person, Jim Garavaglia, did tell
- 21 Ishmael, Ishmael, you're incorrect, here's the
- 22 accurate. Ishmael then per his rules would say
- 23 thank you. We shall remove this finding. We were
- 24 wrong. That's how auditors work. They're either
- 25 right or wrong.

Page 251

1 on the need to follow the auditor's rules --

- 2 A. Okav.
- Q. -- as it pertains to the supervision? So
- 4 I know they're not subject directly to the rules.
- 5 But as it pertains to the supervision of an auditor
- 6 conducting an audit?
- 7 A. As I understand your question, that gets
- 8 back to me saying my expectation for the rules in my
- 9 office from the comptroller's standpoint is that the
- 10 behavior of the deputy comptroller for Finance &
- 11 Development would be to perform in a professional
- 12 manner. That means timeliness. That means honesty
- 13 That means being able to act in a sense of urgency
- 14 when those things call for that. That's -- and
- 15 perform the job, his job, in a professional manner.
- 16 Q. Would that also be --
- 17 A. So that would be to simply respond.
- 18 Q. Would that include accuracy?
- 19 A. From his position, of course.
- Q. Okay. Due diligence?
- A. Due diligence, of course. Because the
- 22 request from the internal auditor is for a response.
- 23 The internal auditor is not said to be always
- 24 accurate. That's why you ask for the auditee's
- 25 response. Because if, in fact, the auditee finds an

- 1 Q. Okay. I understand that. My question was 2 never about the auditor, though.
- A. But that's what you're asking for, for
- 4 accuracy, right?
- O. No. I said in Jim's position.
- A. Right, but you said accuracy.
- 7 Q. That was professionalism. You brought up
- 8 professionalism and timeliness and named off a few
- 9 other things. And I asked you, does that also
- 10 include accuracy and due diligence?
- 11 A. It's in regard to the behavior. The
- 12 professionalism and --
- 13 Q. I understand what you were saying.
 - MR. NORWOOD: Let her finish.
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Because you said it and
- 16 repeated it. I'm asking if it also includes those
- 17 other things.

14

- 18 A. Accuracy, of course, in any situation is
- 19 acceptable. In order to answer your question, I
- 20 have to answer it that way, because I'm unclear as
- 21 to if you're asking me if I want Jim to be accurate.
- 22 And I guess my response would be professional
- 23 behavior, of course, I would want anyone to be
- 24 accurate including Jim. If that's what the question
- 25 is.

Q. Okay. It was, yeah. Then my follow-up 1 2 question is, due diligence in ensuring accuracy is 3 also professional?

A. Doing due diligence and ensuring your 5 accuracy is professional and acceptable, yes.

Q. Okay. You mentioned that Jim has the 7 right to disagree with a finding?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. But you would not want him to 10 disagree with a finding without a firm foundation as 11 the basis for his disagreement, right?

A. I would want anybody to be right or wrong 12 13 and to say as such.

Q. Okay. So it's very important that he 15 knows whether or not he's right or wrong before 16 he --

A. And he should communicate that to the 17 18 auditor, so the auditor can close out a book and 19 move on to something else. That means you're acting 19 they were calling in about the position. They were 20 in a timely and professional manner in response to 21 the question you asked.

22 Q. Right. But my question wasn't about the

23 timeliness or lack of communication. I never 24 posited that that occurred. I simply asked you a

25 question about whether or not that's a crucial

1 served as interim before she actually entered into 2 the position formally by the personnel process, is

3 what I'm recalling.

4 Q. Whose decision was it to make her the 5 interim?

A. I made that decision to make her interim 7 after thinking about it. And actually she did say 8 that she thought she could do the job. She

9 approached me and said, I think I can do this if you 10 will please consider me.

Q. Okay. Did you -- so we talked about way 12 back -- I know it's been a long day -- some of the

13 recruitment efforts that could have taken place

14 mostly by Department of Personnel, but you also

15 mentioned you had a lot of outside people calling in

16 basically soliciting themselves in the position in

17 that fashion. Did that happen?

A. I didn't say they were soliciting. I said 20 actually referring people that I should pick up the

21 phone and call.

22 Q. Understood. Did that happen this time?

23 A. No.

24

Q. All right. Do you know why?

25 A. I really don't. I don't know why.

Page 255

1 expectation.

A. I think you're correct.

Q. All right. All right. I'm going to jump

4 forward and just ask you questions about Jim's

5 replacement after he was no longer the deputy

6 comptroller for Finance & Development. Okay? What

7 candidates did you look at during your search?

A. I believe two candidates applied.

9 Q. Did you expect more than two candidates to 10 apply?

11 A. I didn't know what to expect. I didn't

12 have any expectations.

Q. If you have two candidates, is there a

14 process that allows you to go back and request the

15 job be reposted so that you have more candidates or

16 are you stuck with those two?

17 A. I'm not real sure. That does depend on

18 the timing. So I'm not real sure in that space

19 whether I could have gone back and asked for more.

20 It's possible.

Q. All right. When did you make the decision

22 as to who would be his successor?

A. After the position was awarded to the

24 person that replaced him, which was LaTaunia Kenner

25 and that would have been after -- I believe she

Page 257 Q. Did you make any efforts to locate anybody

2 so that you had the person who ultimately got the

3 position who came to you before becoming interim and

4 she obviously applied. Did you know the other

5 candidate? Were they a City employee?

A. I believe the other candidate was a City

7 employee, but I don't recall. But I believe they

8 were.

9 Q. Okay. Did you do anything other than just

10 take the list that was provided before starting your

11 interviews or considering candidates?

12 A. I did consider Ron Browning Smith, but I

13 don't recall if I actually called him. The main

14 reason that I would even consider him was because he

15 was local and he lived in the City. Most of the

16 other people who were local did not live in the

17 City.

18 Q. Is that a requirement?

19 A. To be a City employee, it is a requirement

20 to live in the City.

21 Q. I didn't know if there were exceptions to

22 that that related to that job or not.

23

24 Q. Did you consult anybody else to see if

25 there would be interested candidates that could be

Page 258 Page 260

1 recruited?

A. I looked at Kelly Anderson, because he was

3 an attorney. But I didn't think he would fit. And

4 he didn't express any interest. LaTaunia Kenner

5 expressed an interest and reminded me that she had a

6 master's in finance. And that she wanted to take a

7 crack at doing the job. I did talk to Joyce Opinsky

8 and asked her to move to the City. And she said no.

Q. Do you recall if the other candidate was

10 male or female, the one that wasn't selected?

A. I believe it was a female.

O. Okay. Do you remember that person's age? 12

13 A. I do not.

14 Q. If there was a male and female candidate

15 that you were considering and all things were equal,

16 do you feel like it would be okay to select a

17 candidate based on their gender at that point?

18 MR. NORWOOD: Let me object. I think

19 that's an improper hypothetical and calls for

20 speculation.

21 Subject to that, you can answer if you

22 can.

1

23 THE WITNESS: I don't really -- I didn't

24 hear your question, because I was thinking

25 about had I spoken to other people. And I 1 candidate based on their gender at that point?

2 A. I guess I don't look at gender in terms of

3 positions when I'm wanting to hire. I never have

4 and I never will. The gender has nothing to do with

5 the work qualifications for a job. And so I would

6 say absolutely not.

Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Have you ever considered

8 age before when considering somebody for a position?

A. Absolutely not. I never considered age,

10 gender, race. Age, gender, race. What's the other

11 thing? Color, sex. I don't consider those kinds of

12 things when I am looking for qualified professionals

13 to take a job in the Office of the Comptroller,

14 because those things are not important. What is

15 important is the qualified individual willing and

16 able to take the job. And they will come with the

17 qualifications to do the job. And they live in the

18 City of St. Louis.

19 Q. Do you consider factors related to age?

20 And this is specific to age, not the other items

21 that you mentioned.

22 A. I never consider age.

23 Q. If I could finish my question. I was

24 going to ask something specific.

Not age. You already answered that 25

Page 259

1 question. But likelihood of how long that

2 individual is going to work or --

3 A. Absolutely not. No.

4 Q. Do you consider whether or not you think

5 that person's likely to stick around or move on to

6 another job?

A. No, not at all. If they're accepting a

8 position and the circumstance which has been

9 explained to them, living in the City of St. Louis,

10 that has been the most question when a person has

11 qualified, would come and accept the job. If they

12 don't live in the City of St. Louis. And I believe

13 the City of St. Louis gives you six months to move.

14 So of course I would have wanted to have the

15 employee in the position longer than six months.

16 Q. Do you think that you have any right or

17 authority to consider any of those factors at all

18 before, age, gender, race, any of those factors at

19

20 A. I do not consider any of those factors at

21 all, whether I have the right or not. Because that

22 is not in my character to do so and is not in the

23 best interest of hiring practices for myself or for

24 the City of St. Louis. It's just not in the best

25 interest to be considering those factors.

recall I had spoken to Lyda Krewson about a

2 year earlier about a deputy comptroller job.

3 She turned me down. I was just thinking that

4 when you asked the question. This was before

5 she became the mayor.

Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Okay. Well, this would

7 have been back when Jim was --

A. This was before Jim.

Q. Yeah. I was specifically asking about

10 this go around.

11 A. I understand, but I was still thinking. I

12 was trying to make sure that I wouldn't leave

13 anybody out that I had considered for the position.

14 And Lyda Krewson was considered, because I asked her

15 directly. We both sat at the airport commission

16 meeting. There was one meeting. And she looked at

17 me like I would get a look like -- I forget what she

18 told me. But she told me -- oh, you said, I have a

19 job. That's what she said.

20 MR. SCHMITZ: Can you repeat that last

21

25

22 THE COURT REPORTER: Question: If there

23 was a male and female candidate that you were

24 considering and all things were equal, do you

feel like it would be okay to select a

66 (Pages 258 - 261)

Page 262 Page 264 1 position? Q. Okay. As far as my question, do you 1 2 believe that you have that right, though? Do you A. Yes. 3 believe you have some discretion to seek diversity 3 Q. All right. Did that person get an 4 interview? 4 as a priority in your office? 5 A. I don't consider it, period. A. I'm not sure. Q. All right. So you don't recall 6 O. I understand that. You've answered that. 6 7 A. And I gotcha. And I did answer it. Even 7 interviewing them? 8 if I had the right and believed that I had the A. I don't recall. 9 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, is this the only 9 right, I don't consider it. 10 Q. I'm just asking --10 candidate referral document that was issued? 11 MR. NORWOOD: Let me object. Hold on, 11 A. I believe so. 12 12 Ms. Green. You can't cut her off when she's Q. And I know you answered this to some 13 answering your question. You've been doing it 13 extent. I just want to clarify my understanding. 14 14 To your knowledge, these are the only two people repeatedly. 15 MR. SCHMITZ: Ron, I've asked the question 15 that applied for the position? 16 three times. 16 A. That's what I believe. MR. NORWOOD: She's answered it three 17 17 Q. Is there a circumstance where somebody 18 times. Every time she begins to explain, you 18 could have applied but never made this list? 19 cut her off. And that's improper. So I'm 19 A. Yes. 20 20 O. What is that? objecting. 21 MR. SCHMITZ: You can make your objection, 21 A. Personnel would have screened them out. 22 MR. NORWOOD: Let me also object on the 22 Q. And then you would not know about it? 23 23 A. I would not know about it. grounds it's been asked and answered. She 24 24 answered it. And it calls for speculation. Q. All right. What's your understanding of 25 25 what the term "affirmative action" means in the And it's irrelevant. Page 263 Page 265 1 Subject to all of that, you can answer 1 employment context? 2 again until he cuts you off. Then I'll object 2 A. Generally speaking? 3 again. 3 MS. HAMILTON: Objection, calls for a 4 MR. SCHMITZ: Despite your need to engage 4 legal conclusion. 5 in hyperbole. 5 MR. NORWOOD: I join in that objection. Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) I'm asking, do you think A. Yeah. I would say that's a legal 7 you have the right or not? I understand very well 7 question. It's a highly legal question. I mean, 8 that you say you would not do that regardless. 8 it's very -- I haven't thought about it. I A. I don't know. 9 haven't -- okay. My understanding of affirmative 10 Q. Thank you. 10 action, I haven't thought about. So I would not be 11 MR. NORWOOD: Why don't we take a break. 11 able to give you a good answer in terms of my belief 12 We need to get a time check. 12 and what I think about it. I think about diversity. 13 (Whereupon there was a short 13 Diversity is important to all organizations, is what 14 break, 5:55 p.m. to 6:03 p.m.) 14 I think. 15 (Whereupon Exhibit V was marked 15 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Okay. Do you understand 16 for identification.) 16 it to mean anything other than just diversity? Not 17 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) I'm going to draw your 17 from a legal standpoint. Just to be clear, just 18 attention to what's marked Plaintiff's Exhibit V. 18 your personal understanding. 19 Are you familiar with this document? 19 MR. NORWOOD: Same objection. 20 A. Yes. 20 MS. HAMILTON: Moving back to a legal 21 21 Q. Okay. What is it? term. So I have the same objection. 22 A. Personnel listing or candidates for this 22 MR. NORWOOD: I join. 23 23 particular position. Subject to that, you can answer if you can Q. And the second individual, was that the 24

67 (Pages 262 - 265)

A. I believe that my understanding is all

25

25 other individual that you considered for this

Page 266 Page 268

- 1 about diversity in the workplace, in the schools,
- 2 and in the world. That's what affirmative action
- 3 would be about.
- 4 Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Are you permitted to do
- 5 anything to promote that?
- 6 MS. HAMILTON: Objection, calls for a
- 7 legal conclusion.
- 8 A. I'm not HR.
- 9 MR. NORWOOD: I join in that objection.
- 10 And calls for speculation and it's irrelevant.
- Subject to that, you can answer.
- 12 A. I guess as I started to answer, I'm not in
- 13 HR and I haven't really considered it.
- Q. (By Mr. Schmitz) Did you ever discuss his
- 15 retirement with him? I know you said you had
- 16 conversations about how long he was going to stay in
- 17 the position, but did you ever discuss his
- 18 retirement specifically?
- 19 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss his possible
- 21 retirement with anyone else?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Is there an age in which you believe
- 24 somebody who's in the role of deputy comptroller
- 25 would have difficulty performing the functions of

- 1 hypotheticals, but subject to that.
- 2 A. I don't know.

3

6

- MR. SCHMITZ: I think that's all I have.
- 4 MR. NORWOOD: Okay. I've got some
- 5 follow-ups. Let me dive right in.
 - [EXAMINATION]
- 7 QUESTIONS BY MR. NORWOOD:
- 8 Q. Counsel made a point about the fact that
- 9 when you talked to Jim that Tuesday before the E&A
- 10 meeting, that Jim made a reference to the mayor
- 11 wanting to place the item on the agenda. Do you
- wanting to place the item on the agenda. Do you
- 12 recall that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Was it your expectation that he would have
- 15 communicated to you that he had already made a
- 16 request for that to be placed on the agenda?
- 17 A. I did, as well as I was very hopeful that
- 18 I would get a full update on the project from Jim.
- 19 I was stunned that I did not get a full update about 20 the particulars of the project, whether or not taxes
- 21 were paid, if that was an issue, whether it was
- 22 going to be in default, anything like that. I was
- 23 stunned about what I heard instead of what I was
- 24 expecting to hear.
- Q. So just so we're clear on the record, it

- 1 the position?
- 2 A. No. I do not.
- 3 Q. Okay. Were you aware of retirement papers
- 4 being submitted in 2019 by Jim?
- 5 A. I became aware when I was told.
- 6 Q. Okay. When was that?
- 7 A. I'm not sure, but it may have been
- 8 counsel. But I'm not sure.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did you have a particular view on
- 10 that?
- 11 A. I was surprised.
- 12 Q. Were you in favor of it?
- 13 A. I was surprised.
- 14 Q. Okay. What were you surprised about?
- 15 A. Well, because I thought the hearing was
- 16 important especially having had the lawyers involved
- 17 so early. I just thought there would be a hearing.
- Q. Is there anything you could have done to
- 19 prevent it?
- A. To prevent it?
- Q. Yeah. Is there anything you could have
- 22 done to prevent his retirement before the
- 23 pretermination hearing?
- MR. NORWOOD: Let me object, because I
- 25 think it calls for speculation and some

- Page 269 1 wasn't that the mayor was placing the item on the
- 2 agenda. It was the fact that he hasn't communicated
- 3 to you that he made that request to place it on the
- 4 agenda on your behalf?
- 5 A. On my behalf. And he could have told me
- 6 the reasons for the request and the reasons why we
- 7 need to go ahead and put this on the agenda and on
- 8 and on and on. And I would have supported Jim.
- 9 Because in times past, I would have supported him if
- 10 he had particular -- and I have to say I heard from
- 11 his deposition that he was knowledgeable and in
- 12 contact with the collector of revenue about the
- 13 taxes, but I didn't know about that until the
- 14 deposition.
- Had I known about that with the
- 16 deposition, Jim and I could have had a more
- 17 intelligent conversation with information that he
- 18 knew. I had no knowledge of his interactions with
- 19 the collector of revenue and attempting to get the
- 20 taxes and all of that. Had I known that, that could
- 21 have been an item put on the agenda from the
- 22 comptroller's office as he had requested. But
- 23 because I was kept out of the loop by Jim and made 24 to not have that knowledge, I couldn't work to help
- 25 Jim to support him to get the item on the agenda.

1 So the fact that the mayor was 2 putting it on the agenda was not only confusing, but

3 as I said earlier, I had two different comments.

- 4 One made by Beverly and one made by him. In that
- 5 split moment, I said, Jim, send the documents to me.
- 6 Then I had to do all the work at that time. Then I
- 7 learned later there was an attempt to put the
- 8 documents on.

9 But the matter at 9:00 in the morning

- 10 that Jim had full control of could have been --
- 11 could have stopped not only the mayor but also his
- 12 first request if he had fully apprised me and told
- 13 me why he thought the item should go on the agenda.
- 14 Then I would have said, have you told Beverly this,
- 15 or are we all going to be on Team Comptroller to get
- 16 this item on the agenda?

I was not afforded that because the

- 18 behavior that I got from Jim was unbecoming of a
- 19 professional at his level to misinform me. I was
- 20 misinformed on that call. And I can't express that
- 21 enough, because I should have been well informed
- 22 instead of misinformed. You gave me all the
- 23 information so I can make an intelligent decision.
- 24 But he didn't do that. That's why we're here today,
- 25 I guess.

Page 271

- 1 If he had done that and done his job
- 2 in a professional manner, which I'm pretty sure that
- 3 I had a reasonable expectation of having him be
- 4 professional and doing his job at the level and
- 5 having a sense of urgency to make sure I'm apprised
- 6 of this issue so this item can move forward. I
- 7 didn't get that from Jim on that day.
- 8 Q. Okay. Let's talk generally about why is
- 9 it important for your deputies to keep you -- you
- 10 referred to -- the term you used was in the loop.
- 11 Why is it important for your deputies to keep you in
- 12 the loop of what's happening with respect to
- 13 projects that impact your elected duties as the
- 14 comptroller?
- 15 A. It's always important to keep me in the
- 16 loop as a deputy comptroller, because the deputy
- 17 comptrollers represent me to the public. The deputy
- 18 comptrollers are my eyes and ears to those who are
- 19 developers, investment bankers, lawyers, and others
- 20 who are working projects. They're speaking on
- 21 behalf of the comptroller in most cases.
- And in some cases, the deputy
- 23 comptrollers can misrepresent. And I believe in
- 24 this case, Jim misrepresented on my behalf. It
- 25 appears from the e-mail trail, that it was told to

Page 272

- 1 Jim in some manner that I was not in favor of the
- 2 project. And that never existed whatsoever.3 Q. Okay. You also used the term "integrity"
- 4 of the office. Could you explain a little bit more
- 5 about why that's important from the standpoint of
- 6 your elected duties as the comptroller?
- 7 A. The integrity of the Office of the
- 8 Comptroller is really important to protect. And you
- 9 protect it by behaving in a professional manner and
- 10 performing your job duties with honesty. You
- 11 perform your job duties with professionalism. And a
- 12 high level of sensitivity exists, because you're
- 13 talking about financial documents.
- And so we want to have the integrity
- 15 of the Office of the Comptroller protected in the
- 16 manner with your behavior. Your work behavior is
- 17 going to show in your manner of conduct that should
- 18 show that you're honest and that you have a sense of
- 19 professionalism that is deserving of being in the
- 20 Office of the Comptroller.
- Q. Now, has your office since you have been
- 22 in charge as the comptroller received awards for the
- 23 work that you have done in terms of keeping the
- 24 fiscal responsibilities, performance, and integrity
- 25 of the City? What awards did you receive?

- A. Well, annually we're fortunate to receive
- 2 the annual award for putting out the comprehensive
- 3 financial report. And we've received that for a
- 4 number of years from the organization of GFOA. The
- 5 Governmental Financial Officer's Association would
- 6 give us that award.
- 7 Additionally, our former deputy
- 8 comptroller, Ivy Pinkston, I was explaining earlier,
- 9 was invited by Harvard to give a course when the
- 10 City of St. Louis was one of the first ten cities to
- 11 be put on -- put their bond activity on the
- 12 computer. We call it Fly St. Louis. At the time
- 13 when other cities were racing to get that done, the
- 14 City of St. Louis got that done. And that was a
- 15 very fine testament as to the work in that area.
- 6 Q. Okay. Now, I just want to focus in on
- 17 when the vacancy occurred with Jim's retirement, I
- 18 believe you testified that you reached out to Joyce
- 19 Opinsky?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And why did you reach out to Joyce
- 22 Opinsky?
- A. Well, to see if she was ready to join the
- 24 office, to come back to the City and work in that
- 25 job. She would have been very good for that job.

- 1 She had previously worked with the City in the
- 2 Office of Budget Director. She knows how the City
- 3 operates. She understands the need and how the job
- 4 of deputy comptroller for Finance & Development
- 5 should go.
- Q. And what race is Joyce Opinsky?
- 7 A. She's white.
- 8 Q. Okay. You mentioned Ron Browning Smith a
- 9 couple of times. I think you mentioned that you had
- 10 reached out to him at the suggestion of other folks
- 11 when the position was vacated because of the
- 12 untimely death of Jim's predecessor. You reached
- 13 out to Ron Browning Smith; is that right?
- A. I did. And Ron Browning Smith was very
- 15 sincere and went so far as to take me up on the
- 16 offer by sitting down with Eunetter Steele to go
- 17 over the job expectations that he would have. And
- 18 then he evaluated whether or not he should take the
- 19 job. And he decided not to. He told me because he
- 20 makes too much money.
- 21 Q. And where was Ron Browning Smith at the
- 22 time you reached out to him? Where was he working 22
- 23 at the time?
- 24 A. He worked at an investment banking firm
- 25 that he still works at today, but he lives in the
 - Page 275

- 1 City of St. Louis.
- Q. And how old was he at the time when you
- 3 reached out to him in 2016?
- A. I believe he was 70.
- Q. Okay. And then when the vacancy came open
- 6 again with Jim's retirement, I believe you testified
- 7 that you reached out to him again?
- A. I believe I did. And he turned me down 8
- 9 again.
- 10 Q. Just for the record, he is an African
- 11 American male; is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you reached out to him the second
- 14 time, if he was 70 when you reached out to him in
- 15 2016, he would have been 73 at the time?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about these
- 18 ratings. Now, you testified that generally unless
- 19 it's required or it's strongly requested, you
- 20 wouldn't do a rating; is that a fair summary?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. All right. And, for instance, have you
- 23 done ratings for Chana, your assistant?
- A. Not unless it was required. Only the
- 25 required ratings.

- Q. Just for the record, Chana is an African 1
- 2 American -- Chana Morton is an African American
- 3 female; is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what about you mentioned Bev
- 6 Fitzsimmons, your deputy; did you do ratings for
- 7 her?
- 8 A. No.
- O. Except --
- 10 A. Except for required ratings.
- 11 Q. And she is -- just for the record, what is
- 12 her race?
- 13 A. She's white.
- 14 Q. What about Tyson Pruitt, did you do
- 15 ratings for him?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. And what is his race?
- 18 A. Except for the ones required.
 - Q. Okay. And what is his race, for the
- 20 record?

19

- 21 A. He's white.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever in all of your years
- 23 as deputy comptroller -- as comptroller for the City
- 24 of St. Louis, have you ever heard of a situation
- 25 where important time sensitive deal documents were
 - Page 277

- 1 placed in interoffice mail?
- A. Not ever in my whole 27 years as
- 3 comptroller of the City of St. Louis have I ever
- 4 heard of time sensitive documents being placed in
- 5 interoffice mail, not ever. And also I have to add
- 6 to that neither has Tom Ray, who obviously knew that
- 7 he needed to alert the Office of the Comptroller
- 8 that this was happening at the time for these types
- 9 of time sensitive documents.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, you were shown Exhibit S,
- 11 which is a memo you prepared to Jim regarding his
- 12 attempt to have a St. Louis composting contract
- 13 executed by Jim. Do you recall that discussion?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. As you testified this wasn't the
- 16 first time you had discussions with Jim about
- 17 signature authority; is that correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. All right. In fact, you identified a
- 20 situation that was at least a year prior where he
- 21 apparently went around you and went to Tom Ray to
- 22 get some advice about signing?
- 23 A. After I had verbally admonished him about
- 24 signing. And I said admonish. It could be the same
- 25 to inform or counsel. To let him know Beverly

- 1 Fitzsimmons was the only other designated signer
- 2 besides the comptroller. So I thought he understood
- 3 that. Then here comes a year later, where not only
- 4 is he attempting to sign this document, but he's
- 5 giving false information to the other employee at
- 6 the City, the Forestry Department who knows the
- 7 rules regarding signature, and had expressed that to
- 8 the vendor, saying they have to get the
- 9 comptroller's signature. There's a process.
- 10 Jim tells them the process didn't
- 11 exist, which is false. The process does exist. And
- 12 it was in effect. So I asked him in this memo, once
- 13 again it's an admonishment and it's also a form of
- 14 counseling, and letting him know I'm calling you on
- 15 the carpet, but I'm also calling you on the carpet
- 16 with the audience of City employees and the Forestry
- 17 Department along with the vendors. So the vendor
- 18 would not be blindsided by the false information
- 19 that they had received.

A. Yes, I did.

7 knew that he knew.

9 didn't know?

5 discussions with him before?

- 20 Q. Okay. In Exhibit S, which is the July 21,
- 21 2017 memo to Jim regarding unauthorized signature,
- 22 you say in there -- and I'm just going to read it
- 23 into the record, the second sentence says, quote:
- 24 As you know, currently there are only two authorized
- 25 signatures for contracts and their extensions.

You wrote that to him, right?

Q. And when you said as you know, was that

A. I had previous discussions with him. So I

Q. Did he ever come to you and say, no, I

- A. He never did. 1
- 2 Q. Would it be your expectation that he would

Page 280

- 3 come to you and tell you that?
- A. I would have expected that Jim would have
- 5 performed with the professional behavior and come to
- 6 me to tell me that he had signed other documents
- 7 after he had received this memo from me, but he did
- 9 Q. Okay. At the time you wrote this memo,
- 10 did you know he had signed off on these other
- 12 A. I did not know that until he -- I did not
- 13 know at the time at all. I did not know until a
- 14 year or two years later.
- Q. How did you find out about the fact that
- 16 there were these contracts out there and that he had
- 17 signed purportedly on behalf of the city?
- 18 A. Once Jim decided to retire, other
- 19 employees took time to perform his duties. And as
- 20 they were doing their regular duties, performing
- 21 their regular duties, they submitted a form. They
- 22 submitted a voucher for payment for Waste Management
- 23 and it was rejected. And it was rejected because
- 24 there was no current contract on file.
- 25 And so there couldn't be, because

Page 279

Page 281

- 2 Management company was contacted and they
- 3 immediately sent us a contract that had been signed
- 4 by Jim. It was a three-year extension that was
- 5 signed by Jim. And so we submitted it or at least I
- 6 was told that Judy Armstrong, not knowing any
- 7 better, submitted to the contract administrator who
- 8 knew better. She said this can't be. This is not
- 9 legitimate.
- 10 A. He never did.
- 11 Q. And you go further and say: As
- 12 comptroller, I am authorized and I have also

4 based upon the fact that you had had these

- 13 authorized Deputy Comptroller, Beverly Fitzsimmons.
- 14 Right?
- 15 A. Yes.

1

2

3

10

- 16 Q. All right. And you had told him that
- 17 before, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Years before?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. When he received this memo,
- 22 after he received this memo, after July 21, 2017,
- 23 did Jim ever come to you and say, oh, by the way, I
- 24 have already signed off on contracts for AT&T and
- 25 for Waste Management? Did he ever do that?

- 1 they hadn't been being paid. So then the Waste

- Q. Okay.
- 11 A. So then she moved to take steps to get a
- 12 legitimate three-month or four-month extension so
- 13 that the Waste Management people could continue to
- 14 be paid.
- 15 Q. And this discovery happened during the
- 16 time he was on forced leave; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes. And this was within a few days. He
- 18 was on forced leave as of July the 2nd. This
- discovery happened within less than seven days.
- 20 Q. Okay. Let me show you -- I want to go to
- 21 Exhibit O. There was a reference to some letters
- 22 dated July 15, 2019. So let's go to those.
- 23 Do you know if those letters were
- 24 actually submitted to Mr. Frank and to
- 25 Mr. Garavaglia on July 15, 2019?

Page 282 Page 284

- 1 A. I don't believe they were. I'm glad
- 2 you're asking me this. Again, these look like they
- 3 were letters that were in preparation. The ones
- 4 that were dated July 15 were in preparation for the
- 5 letters that would be submitted on July 18. So
- 6 these were prepared, but not delivered.
- Q. Were they draft letters in your view?
- A. They were draft letters. They had my
- 9 original signature.
- Q. Okay. Were the actual letters that went
- 11 out as related to the second forced leave sent on
- 12 July 18, 2019 to Mr. Frank --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. Let me finish.
- 15 -- to Mr. Frank and to
- 16 Mr. Garavaglia?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And just for the record,
- 19 there's a July 18, 2019 letter that was actually
- 20 written to Mr. Frank that has approved 7/18/19; is
- 21 that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And do you know who wrote that on there?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. Do you know if that's Mr. Frank's

- A. It was alarming to say the least. That he
- 2 had to take the time. Because in his e-mail, he's
- 3 also copying Jim. But he's directed it to the
- 4 secretary of the comptroller letting us be alerted
- 5 that we're going to have a problem, because Jim is
- 6 basically not handling his job in a professional
- 7 manner to get the documents circulated in a timely
- 8 manner so there's a closing.
- He lets us know there's a closing.
- 10 He lets us know documents are in interoffice mail.
- 11 which is a mistake. He says to call him on the next
- 12 day so he can be of help. Instead of calling him on
- 13 the next day, I chose to call him on the same day,
- 14 along with Jim, along with Sherry Wibbenmeyer in the
- 15 mayor's office, my secretary and myself, the five of
- 16 us was on that call. And I asked him did he have a
- 17 plan for getting the documents executed in a timely
- 18 manner.
- 19 Q. And he was going to be on vacation the
- 20 very following day; is that right?
- A. That is correct. And that is why I chose 21
- 22 to call him that day, because I didn't know if I
- 23 would be able to get in touch with him the next day.
- 24 So if he had told me he had a plan in place to take
- 25 care of the execution, then I would have been secure

Page 283

1 approval?

- A. It probably is Mr. Frank's approval.
- Q. Okay. Let me close it out. Do you know
- 4 if Judy Armstrong as the appointing authority would
- 5 have also consulted with Director of Personnel and
- 6 Linda Thomas in conjunction with the forced leave in
- 7 this case?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, these deals that ultimately
- 10 were completed on time, were they completed on time 10 And he did not.
- 11 because there were guardrails in place in terms of
- 12 professionals, outside professionals, like Opinsky
- 13 and Tom Ray to make sure that they stayed on track?
- A. Absolutely. That is correct. Those
- 15 professionals, lawyers, bond lawyers, as well as
- 16 investment bankers were very aware of the timeline
- 17 and schedules. And they were there to help to make
- 18 sure that these deals got done properly. Even Tom
- 19 Ray would chime in, step in to make sure deals got
- 20 done without any delay. That's how they got done
- 21 without delay.
- 22
- 23 out to Chana to alert her of the problem with the
- 24 timing on getting these documents executed, did that
- 25 jump out to you as being unusual?

- 1 in that. He did say that his secretary, Sheila, 2 would be delivering the documents to my office the
- 3 next morning.
- 4 That was after I asked him, could
- 5 he -- I asked him where were the documents at that
- 6 moment. He told me they were in the mail. My
- 7 question was a leading question. And the leading
- 8 question was in an attempt to see if Jim was going
- 9 to offer to go get the documents out of the mail.
- Q. Are we talking about interoffice mail? 11
- 12 A. I'm talking about interoffice mail before
- 13 the close of business at the City of St. Louis on
- 14 the day before he was going on vacation.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Jim showed no urgency to have the
- 17 documents ready for my signature in a timely manner.
- 18 But he did in his deposition talk about that the
- 19 documents needed review. So when I heard the
- 20 deposition, I didn't understand how he was going to
- 21 be reviewing the documents that came out of the mail
- Q. When you found out about Tom Ray reaching 22 on the day that he was driving out of town. Those
 - 23 things didn't register.
 - 24 Q. All right.
 - 25 A. He said that in his deposition. He was

72 (Pages 282 - 285)

١.	Page 286	1	Page 288
1	going out of town on that call before the close of	1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
	business. Before he left, those documents he	2	I, Sheryl A. Pautler, RPR, Certified Court
	made no attempt to take them out of the mail for a	3	Reporter (MO), Certified Shorthand Reporter
	review that he talked about at his deposition.	4	(IL), do hereby certify that the witness whose
5	Q. Okay. We talked about the Muni Court	5	testimony appears in the foregoing deposition
	project.	6	was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said
7	MR. BLANKE: Let me interrupt you for a	7	witness was taken by me to the best of my
8	second. I want to object just for the record.	8	ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting
9	I think we're over the seven hours now in	9	under my direction; that I am neither counsel
10	total.	10	for, related to, nor employed by any of the
11	MR. NORWOOD: You have a seven-hour limit.	11	parties to the action in which this deposition
12	I can use any of my seven hours. I am going to	12	was taken, and further that I am not a relative
13	wrap it up.	13	or employee of any attorney or counsel employed
14	Q. (By Mr. Norwood) But my question to you	14	by the parties thereto, nor financially or
15	is let's talk about the Muni Court project. What	15	otherwise interested in the outcome of the
16	is that? How many millions of dollars is involved	16	action.
17	and what project are we talking about when you say	17	
18	the Muni Court project?	18	
19	A. We're talking about a project where the	19	Span Coutler
20	developers were going to redevelop the Muni Court	20	Certified Court Reporter (MO)
21		21	Certified Shorthand Reporter (IL)
22	be redeveloped into a parking lot. And that would	22	1
23		23	
24		24	
	only create jobs, they were expecting there would be	25	
	Page 287		Page 289
1	anywhere between 60 to 70 jobs created, along with	1	1 age 209
	the revenues from the hotel taxes and sales taxes	2	
1	for that particular location right now, which is	3	
	right now an unused building and boarded up.	4	
5	Q. Okay. Let me close with this. And I'll	5	
	ask you point blank. Did Mr. Garavaglia's age,	6	
	• •	7	
	race, or sex have anything to do with the steps you		
	took as it related to the first, the second forced	8	
1	leave or pretermination?	9	
10		10	
	at all.	11	
12	E	12	
13	1	13	
14	, , ,	14	
15		15	
16		16	
17	` 1 &	17	
18		18	
19	` '	19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	

73 (Pages 286 - 289)

	P. 400		D 202
1	Page 290 Veritext Legal Solutions	0 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW	Page 292
1	1100 Superior Ave	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS	
2	Suite 1820	2	
١.	Cleveland, Ohio 44114	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764	
3 4	Phone: 216-523-1313	3 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022	
4	March 4, 2022	4 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green	
5	1741011 1, 2022	5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil	
	To: MR. NORWOOD	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me.	
6		7 I have listed my changes on the attached	
7	Case Name: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al.	Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as	
′	Veritext Reference Number: 5083764	8 well as the reason(s) for the change(s).	
8	Vertical Reference Palmoor. 3003701	9 I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony.	
	Witness: Darlene Green Deposition Date: 2/17/2022	10	
9		I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well	
1	Dear Sir/Madam:	11 as this Certificate, and request and authorize	
11	Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness	that both be appended to the transcript of my 12 testimony and be incorporated therein.	
12		13	
	review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the	Date Darlene Green	
13		Sworn to and subscribed before me, a	
14	included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and	15 Notary Public in and for the State and County,	
* 1	the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and	the referenced witness did personally appear	
15		16 and acknowledge that:	
١	forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address	17 They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections	
1	shown	18 in the appended Errata Sheet;	
18	above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.	They signed the foregoing Sworn	
10	If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of	19 Statement; and	
19	* * * *	Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed.	
١	this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.	21 I have affixed my name and official seal	
20		22 this day of, 20	
	Sincerely, Production Department	23	
23	1 roduction Department	Notary Public	
24			
25	NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA	25 Commission Expiration Date	
1	Page 291	1	Page 293
1	Page 291 DEPOSITION REVIEW		Page 293
	•	1 ERRATA SHEET	Page 293
1 2	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST	Page 293
2	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764	Page 293
	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
3 4	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON 4	
3	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
3 4 5	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON 4	
3 4 5	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON 4 5	
2 3 4 5 6 7	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me.	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON 4	
2 3 4 5	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON 4	
2 3 4 5 6 7	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON 4	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON 4	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County,	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 5083764 3 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County,	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20	1 ERRATA SHEET	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 5083764 CASE NAME: James Garavaglia v. City Of St. Louis, Et Al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/17/2022 WITNESS' NAME: Darlene Green In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Darlene Green Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20	1 ERRATA SHEET	

74 (Pages 290 - 293)